CHECKLIST FOR DEVELOPMENT AND CRITIQUE OF INSTRUCTIONAL VIDEOS

This research-based checklist is a framework for the constructive critique of scripts, storyboards and videos.

Content and Sequencing		Concepts. The video clarifies the concepts it covers and makes links to students' prior knowledge, including misconceptions.
	۰	Logic. Each successive concept in the video or video series builds on the previous ones without gaps in logic or errors.
		Story. A hook (e.g. problem or question) begins a narrative or explanatory arc that culminates in a resolution.
		Language. Tone is conversational and disciplinary terms and notation are appropriately defined and consistently used.
Cognitive Supports		Visualizations. Demonstrations, animations and other visuals clarify concepts and make the invisible visible.
		Signals. Cues (e.g. arrows, highlights and verbal guidance) help students move between physical phenomena, graphs, equations, symbols and other representational forms.
		Synchronization. Graphics and narration are mutually reinforcing and well synchronized.
		Segmentation. Judicious duration, natural pauses and reiteration emphasize important points and help parse the content for the learner.
	٥	Streamlining. Presentation avoids overburdening learners with distractions or simultaneous processing of different verbal (conflicting text and spoken) information.
Affective Considerations	۰	Relevance. Presentation tone and style are age-appropriate and motivating, and the situation or context is meaningful for the target audience.
		Rapport. Characters/audience are depicted/treated as empowered learners, and any interactions between individuals model respectful, helpful behavior.
		Accessibility. The video is of sufficient aesthetic and technical quality to meet the learning objectives and it employs Universal Design Principles for maximum accessibility.

Checklist from Seethaler, S., Burgasser, A. J., Bussey, T. J., Eggers, J., Lo, S. M., Rabin, J. M., Stevens, L. & Weizman, H. (2020). A research-based checklist for development and critique of STEM instructional videos. *Journal of College Science Teaching*, *50*(1), 21-27.